

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United Stat s Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ID

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/913,918	12/08/97	PROCKOP	D	TJU-1857

T 000570 HM12/0509 T AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

EXAMINER					
KERR, J					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
	•				

DATE MAILED: 05/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	08/913,918	PROCKOP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Janet Kerr	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.	· · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69-112</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims 69-112 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	roved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Toknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 55 0.5.0. § 115(e).							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 08/913,918

Art Unit: 1633

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

With regard to claim 75, the species of diseases, disorders or conditions are:

- 1) growth hormone deficiency,
- 2) diabetes,
- 3) adenine deaminase deficiency,
- 4) hemophilia A,
- 5) hemophilia B,
- 6) alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency,
- 7) Fabray disease,
- 8) familial hypercholesterolemia,
- 9) Gaucher's disease,
- 10) Lesch-Nyhan Syndrome,
- 11) maple syrup urine disease,
- 12) ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency,
- 13) phenylketonuria,
- 14) Sandhoff disease,
- 15) Tay-Sachs disease,
- 16) von Willebrand disease,
- 17) obesity,
- 18) atherosclerosis,
- 19) osteoporosis,
- 20) AIDS,
- 21) an autoimmune disease,

Application/Control Number: 08/913,918

Art Unit: 1633

22) a urea cycle defect,

- 23) a branched chain organic aciduria, and
- 24) galactosemia.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 69-74, and 97-112 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-4055. Should the examiner be unavailable, inquiries should be directed to Deborah Clark, Supervisory Primary Examiner of Art Unit 1633, at (703) 305-4051. Any administrative or procedural questions should be directed to Kimberly Davis, Patent Analyst, at (703) 305-3015. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 305-7401.

Janet M. Kerr, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Group 1600

DEBORAH J. R. CLARK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600